1 2

_

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1516

17

18

20

19

2122

23

24

2526

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

JEROME JAY ISHAM,

Defendant.

CASE NO. CR18-0199-JCC

ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on Defendant Jerome Jay Isham's motion to review Magistrate Judge James P. Donohue's order denying his emergency motion for furlough (Dkt. No. 30). Having thoroughly considered Defendant's motion and the relevant record, the Court finds oral argument unnecessary and hereby DENIES the motion for the reasons explained herein.

Defendant is presently in custody, awaiting trial on charges of attempted possession of a fentanyl analogue with intent to distribute; possession of a fentanyl analogue with intent to distribute; and being a felon in possession of a firearm. (*See* Dkt. No. 21.) On August 16, 2018, Judge Donohue remanded Defendant to custody, finding that he posed a substantial risk to the safety of the community due to his significant prior criminal history, involving drugs and a number of illegal firearm arrests. (*Id.*) Judge Donohue also found that Defendant had significant substance abuse and possible mental health issues. (*Id.*) Trial is scheduled for April 15, 2019.

ORDER CR18-0199-JCC PAGE - 1 (Dkt. No. 23.)

On February 21, 2019, Defendant filed an emergency motion for furlough, requesting that he be released from custody to attend his mother's funeral. (Dkt. No. 26.) Defendant stated that he would be accompanied to the funeral by his fiancée. (*Id.*) The funeral is scheduled for February 28, 2019, and Defendant requested to be released from 9:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. (*Id.*) The Government opposed the motion. (*See* Dkt. No. 27.)

The Court referred Defendant's motion to Judge Donohue, who denied it on February 26, 2019. (Dkt. No. 29.) Citing Defendant's prior criminal history, significant substance abuse issues, and possible mental health issues, Judge Donohue found that Defendant's fiancée would not be an appropriate custodian during the proposed furlough. (*Id.*) Judge Donohue also noted that Defendant's relationship with his now-deceased mother terminated at a young age. (*Id.*) On February 27, 2019, Defendant filed this motion asking the Court to reverse Judge Donohue's order and grant his proposed furlough. (Dkt. No. 30.)

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3145(b), a district court may review a magistrate judge's order of detention. The Ninth Circuit has interpreted the statute to require *de novo* review. *United States v. Koenig*, 912 F.2d 1190, 1191–93 (9th Cir. 1990). To determine whether conditions of release will sufficiently assure both court appearance and the public safety, the Court considers the information available regarding the four factors outlined in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g).

Defendant proposes he be picked up and dropped off by his fiancée, Sahar Siddiq, using her personal motor vehicle. (Dkt. No. 30.) The funeral is to be at Vision Church in Everett, Washington, from 10:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. (*Id.*) Defendant states that he will "travel directly to Vision Church upon release, and return directly to FDC SeaTac by 7:00 p.m. on February 28." (*Id.*) Defendant provides correspondence from family members confirming the details of his mother's death and funeral arrangements. (*See* Dkt. No. 30-1.)

The Court appreciates the many letters of support, and is sympathetic to Defendant for the loss of his mother. However, based on Defendant's criminal history and history of substance

abuse, there is not clear and convincing evidence before the Court that Defendant will neither flee nor pose a risk of danger to the community if released. Moreover, the Court finds that Defendant's proposed conditions of release—that he be transported and accompanied by his fiancée—are not sufficient to ensure Defendant will return to custody. For the foregoing reasons, Defendant's motion to reverse Magistrate Judge James P. Donohue's order denying his emergency motion for furlough (Dkt. No. 30) is DENIED. DATED this 28th day of February 2019. John C. Coughenour UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE